

**UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT  
NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA**

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
Plaintiff,  
v.

CITY OF OAKLAND AND PORT OF  
OAKLAND,  
Defendants.

CITY OF OAKLAND, A MUNICIPAL  
CORPORATION, ACTING BY AND  
THROUGH ITS BOARD OF PORT  
COMMISSIONERS (PORT OF OAKLAND),

Counterclaimant,

v.

CITY AND COUNTY OF SAN FRANCISCO,  
Counterclaim Defendant.

Case No. 3:24-cv-02311-TSH

**STIPULATED ORDER RE:  
DISCOVERY OF ELECTRONICALLY  
STORED INFORMATION**

1 **1. PURPOSE**

2 This Order will govern discovery of electronically stored information (“ESI”) in this  
3 case as a supplement to the Federal Rules of Civil Procedure, this Court’s Guidelines for the  
4 Discovery of Electronically Stored Information, and any other applicable orders and rules. To  
5 the extent a Party reasonably believes that compliance with this Order imposes an undue burden  
6 with respect to any protocol or source, the parties shall promptly confer in an effort to resolve  
7 the issue.

8 **2. COOPERATION**

9 The parties are aware of the importance the Court places on cooperation and commit to  
10 cooperate in good faith throughout the matter consistent with this Court’s Guidelines for the  
11 Discovery of ESI.

12 **3. LIAISON**

13 The parties will identify liaisons to each other who are and will be knowledgeable about  
14 and responsible for discussing their respective ESI. Each e-discovery liaison will be, or have  
15 access to those who are, knowledgeable about the technical aspects of e-discovery, including  
16 the location, nature, accessibility, format, collection, search methodologies, and production of  
17 ESI in this matter. The parties will rely on the liaisons, as needed, to confer about ESI and to  
18 help resolve disputes without court intervention.

19 **4. PRESERVATION**

20 The parties have discussed their preservation obligations and needs and agree that  
21 preservation of potentially relevant ESI will be reasonable and proportionate. To reduce the  
22 costs and burdens of preservation and to ensure proper ESI is preserved, the parties agree that:

- 23 a) Only ESI created or received between January 1, 2015 and present date will be  
24 preserved;
- 25 b) The parties will exchange a list of the types of ESI they believe should be preserved  
26 and the custodians, or general job titles or descriptions of custodians, for whom they believe ESI  
27 should be preserved, *e.g.*, “HR head,” “scientist[,]” and “marketing manager.” The parties shall add  
28 or remove custodians as reasonably necessary.

c) The parties are currently not aware of any data sources that are likely to contain responsive information but which are not reasonably accessible because of undue burden or cost pursuant to Fed. R. Civ. P. 26(b)(2)(B). If any party becomes aware of any such data source, the parties will meet and confer in good faith about whether that data should be preserved and/or collected.

## 5. SEARCH

The parties agree that in responding to Fed. R. Civ. P. 34 requests, they will meet and confer about methods to search ESI in order to identify ESI that is subject to production in discovery and filter out ESI that is not subject to discovery.

## 6. PRODUCTION FORMATS

a) With respect to documents previously produced by the parties in response to requests under the California Public Records Act, the San Francisco Sunshine Ordinance, and/or the Port of Oakland Sunshine Ordinance (collectively, “Previously Produced PRA Documents”), the parties agree as follows to the extent that any Previously Produced PRA Documents are responsive to a request for production served by any party in this case:

- i. Any Previously Produced PRA Documents that were previously produced in native file format will be produced in this litigation in native file format with the accompanying metadata fields listed in Attachment A (to the extent available);
- ii. Any Previously Produced PRA Documents that cannot easily be converted to image format, will be produced in native file format with the accompanying metadata fields listed in Attachment A (to the extent available);
- iii. Any Previously Produced PRA Documents that are emails will be produced in a format that complies with Section 6(b)-(f) below including with an accompanying load file containing the metadata fields listed in Attachment A;
- iv. For all other Previously Produced PRA Documents not addressed in Section 6(a)(i)-(iii), a party may produce those documents in the same format in which they were previously produced (e.g., PDFs), provided that (i) OCR is performed; (ii) a load file (with accompanying metadata fields listed in Attachment A to the extent available from the metadata of the Previously Produced PRA Document) is provided; (iii) the Previously Produced PRA Documents are given new Bates numbers consistent with this Stipulated Order; and (iv) the Previously Produced PRA Documents are unitized (i.e. PDFs are separated by document in order to maintain the original document structure).

1 Notwithstanding the above, each party reserves the right to request production of any  
2 Previously Produced PRA Document in a format that complies with Section 6(b)-(f) below. Each  
3 party agrees to act reasonably and in good faith in requesting production of a Previously Produced  
4 PRA Document in a format that complies with Section 6(b)-(f). There is a presumption that upon  
5 request, Previously Produced PRA Documents should be produced in a format that complies with  
6 Section 6(b)-(f) below even if that requires the producing party to re-collect the native version of the  
7 Previously Produced PRA Document.

8 b) The parties agree to produce ESI, with searchable text, in one of the following  
9 formats TIFF (single-page, 300 DPI, CCITT Group IV, black and white), JPG (300 DPI), or PDF  
10 (multi-page) (collectively “Images”). Images shall be produced with a delimited DAT file  
11 containing the metadata fields listed in Attachment A, accompanied by an Opticon (OPT) cross-  
12 reference file and corresponding document-level extracted text. Document types that can’t easily  
13 be converted to image format, will be produced in native file formats with accompanying metadata  
14 fields listed in Attachment A to the extent available. If particular documents warrant a different  
15 format, the parties will cooperate to arrange for the mutually acceptable production of such  
16 documents.

17 c) For documents produced as Images, each Image shall have a unique file name which  
18 will be the Bates number of that page followed by its file extension (e.g., BATES000001.TIF). The  
19 Bates number must appear on the face of the Image (e.g., BATES000001).

20 d) Documents produced natively shall have a Bates-numbered placeholder image  
21 associated with the native document with that Bates number.

22 e) For each document produced in Image or native format, a document-level extracted  
23 text file shall be provided or OCR (for documents that have been redacted or documents without  
24 extractable text). The text of ESI shall be extracted directly from the native file and shall be  
25 provided in searchable UTF-8 Unicode text format and shall be named with a unique Bates number  
26 (e.g., the unique beginning Bates number of its corresponding produced version of the document  
27 followed by its file extension (e.g., BATES000001.TXT)).  
28

1 f) With respect to documents containing redacted text, OCR of the non-redacted  
2 portions of the document will be provided. No party shall be obligated to OCR ESI that contains  
3 no extractable text. ESI items shall be processed as to preserve the date/time shown in the document  
4 as it was last saved, to the extent reasonably available, not the date of collection or processing. The  
5 Parties shall endeavor to produce in Pacific Time Zone. The parties agree not to degrade the  
6 searchability of documents as part of the document production process.

## 7 **7. DOCUMENTS PROTECTED FROM DISCOVERY**

8 The following presumptions shall apply. If a party believes that there is a need to provide a  
9 more detailed privilege log in order to assess the withholding party's assertion of privilege, the  
10 parties will meet and confer in good faith and seek guidance from the Court if they cannot reach  
11 agreement. There is a presumption that the following types of documents need not be placed on a  
12 privilege log:

- 13 a) Communications between the parties and their respective counsel of record, and  
14 which do not include third-parties, that post-date the filing of the complaint; and  
15 b) Work product created after the filing of the complaint.

## 16 **8. MODIFICATION**

17 This Stipulated Order may be modified by a Stipulated Order of the parties or by the Court  
18 for good cause shown.

19 **IT IS SO STIPULATED**, through Counsel of Record.

1 Dated: July 7, 2025

COOLEY LLP

3 By: /s/ Judd Lauter

4 Bobby Ghajar  
5 John Hemann  
6 Judd Lauter  
7 Ryan Stevens  
8 Jessica Williams

*Attorneys for Plaintiff and Counterclaim  
Defendant City and County of San Francisco*

8 Dated: July 7, 2025

FENNEMORE LLP & FENNEMORE CRAIG,  
P.C.

11 By: /s/ Sarah Gohmann Bigelow

12 Eugene M. Pak  
13 Stephen C. Willey  
14 Brandi B. Balanda  
15 Sarah Gohmann Bigelow

*Attorneys for Defendant and  
Counterclaimant City of Oakland, a  
municipal corporation, acting by and  
through its Board of Port Commissioners  
(Port of Oakland)*

**PROPOSED ORDER**

**IT IS SO ORDERED** that the forgoing Agreement is approved.

Dated: \_\_\_\_\_

\_\_\_\_\_  
Honorable Thomas S. Hixson  
United States District Judge

**ATTACHMENT A**

The Parties agree to provide the following metadata fields for ESI as required by Section 6 of the ESI Protocol, to the extent such metadata exists:

Field Name	Description
FILEPATH	Original file system path, not including file name
DATEMOD	Date last modified, file system metadata
TIMEMOD	Time last modified, file system metadata
DATECREATE	Date created, file system metadata
TIMECREATE	Time created, file system metadata
FILEEXT	File extension of document after last period in file name
NATIVEFILE	Relative path to file for documents being produced as native
TEXTFILE	Relative path to OCR or extracted text file
HASHVALUE	SHA-1 or MD5 checksum result, used to identify exact duplicates
PAGECOUNT	Number of pages comprising the document
REDACTED	An indication of whether the document has been redacted
FILENAME	The name of the file as it was originally saved including its extension
BEGBATES	The first Bates number of a document
ENDBATES	The last Bates number of a document
BEGATTACH	The beginning Bates number of an attached family
ENDATTACH	The last Bates number of an attached family
FROM	The sender of the email
TO	All recipients that were included on the "To" line of the email
CC	All recipients that were included on the "CC" line of the email



BCC	All recipients that were included on the “BCC” line of the email
DATETIMERECEIVED	Date and time email was received (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM SS) as processed per the TIMEZONE field
DATETIMESENT	Date and time email was sent (format: MM/DD/YYYY HH:MM SS) as processed per the TIMEZONE field
EMAILSUBJECT	Subject line of email pulled from the document properties